



E668

JACC March 12, 2013

Volume 61, Issue 10



Heart Failure

PROGNOSTIC IMPACT OF DISCORDANT VERSUS CONCORDANT LEFT BUNDLE BRANCH BLOCK IN HEART FAILURE PATIENTS UNDERGOING CARDIAC RESYNCHRONIZATION THERAPY

Moderated Poster Contributions

Poster Sessions, Expo North

Sunday, March 10, 2013, 9:45 a.m.-10:30 a.m.

Session Title: Insights into Cardiac Resynchronization and Device Therapies in Heart Failure

Abstract Category: 15. Heart Failure: Clinical

Presentation Number: 1219M-265

Authors: *Michele Emdin, Alessandro Valleggi, Laura Perrotta, Giuseppe Mascia, Giuseppe Ricciardi, Paolo Pieragnoli, Giuseppe Vergaro, Claudio Passino, Giosuè Mascioli, Luigi Padeletti, Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Fondazione Gabriele Monasterio CNR-Regione Toscana, Pisa, Italy, Postgraduate School of Cardiology, University of Florence, Florence, Italy*

Background: Left bundle branch block (LBBB) is a frequent observation in heart failure (HF) patients, and is recognized as both an adverse prognostic factor and a key-element for referring patients to cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT). It has been previously defined as concordant (cLBBB) or discordant (dLBBB) when associated with a positive or negative T wave in leads I and V5-V6, respectively. Recently, dLBBB has been shown to be associated with a worse clinical, neurohormonal, and prognostic profile in systolic HF patients. Our aim was to evaluate the impact of CRT on the prognostic value of LBBB morphology in a population of systolic HF.

Methods: A total of 406 consecutive systolic HF patients with LBBB (age 69 ± 9 years, left ventricular ejection fraction, $26 \pm 6\%$), treated with CRT (CRT-P, n= 78; CRT-D, n= 328) from three Italian Centers underwent clinical, biohumoral, and echocardiographic characterization. All patients were then followed-up for cardiac events (median 31 months, range 1-137).

Results: cLBBB was observed in 110 (27%) patients, dLBBB in 296 (73%). dLBBB was more frequent in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy, associated with a shorter QRS duration and worse glomerular filtration rate (all $p < 0.05$). No difference in pharmacological and device therapy was observed, except for a higher use of diuretics in dLBBB patients. At Kaplan-Meier analysis, dLBBB was associated with a worse prognosis for the composite end-point of sudden death and implantable cardioverter defibrillator shock ($p < 0.05$), while no difference was observed in terms of either cardiac death or death due to HF progression.

Conclusions: dLBBB, despite CRT, is associated with the occurrence of sudden death and implantable cardioverter defibrillator shock in systolic HF patients, identifying a subset with higher arrhythmic risk, needing an enhanced, targeted therapeutical effort.